#### Geomorphology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



### Geomorphology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/geomorph

### On the patterns and processes of wood in northern California streams

### Q1 Paul Bigelow<sup>a,\*</sup>, Lee Benda<sup>b</sup>

- 3 <sup>a</sup> Bigelow Watershed Geomorphology, San Lorenzo, CA, USA
- <sup>b</sup> Earth Systems Institute, Mount Shasta, CA, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

5

03

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

50

49

Keywords:

Stream wood Wood budget

Wood storage

Wood transport

Spatial variation

Wood-formed pools

Riparian management

Large woody debris

Wood recruitment

6	
7	Article history:
8	Received 30 May 2013
9	Received in revised form 23 November 2013
10	Accepted 29 November 2013
11	Available online xxxx
13	

#### Forest manage

ABSTRACT

Forest management and stream habitat can be improved by clarifying the primary riparian and geomorphic con- 25 trols on streams. To this end, we evaluated the recruitment, storage, transport, and the function of wood in 95 km 26 of streams (most drainage areas  $< 30 \text{ km}^2$ ) in northern California, crossing four coastal to inland regions with dif- 27ferent histories of forest management (managed, less-managed, unmanaged). The dominant source of variability 28 in stream wood storage and recruitment is driven by local variation in rates of bank erosion, forest mortality, and 29 mass wasting. These processes are controlled by changes in watershed structure, including the location of can- 30 yons, floodplains and tributary confluences; types of geology and topography; and forest types and management 31 history. Average wood storage volumes in coastal streams are 5 to 20 times greater than inland sites primarily 32 from higher riparian forest biomass and growth rates (productivity), with some influence by longer residence 33 time of wood in streams and more wood from landsliding and logging sources. Wood recruitment by mortality 34 (windthrow, disease, senescence) was substantial across all sites (mean 50%) followed by bank erosion (43%) 35 and more locally by mass wasting (7%). The distances to sources of stream wood are controlled by recruitment 36 process and tree height. Ninety percent of wood recruitment occurs within 10 to 35 m of channels in managed 37 and less-managed forests and upward of 50 m in unmanaged Sequoia and coast redwood forests. Local landslid- 38 ing extends the source distance. The recruitment of large wood pieces that create jams (mean diameter 0.7 m) is 39 primarily by bank erosion in managed forests and by mortality in unmanaged forests. Formation of pools by 40 wood is more frequent in streams with low stream power, indicating the further relevance of environmental con- 41 text and watershed structure. Forest management influences stream wood dynamics, where smaller trees in 42 managed forests often generate shorter distances to sources of stream wood, lower stream wood storage, and 43 smaller diameter stream wood. These findings can be used to improve riparian protection and inform spatially 44 explicit riparian management. 45

© 2013 Published by Elsevier B.V. 46

#### 48

### 51 1. Introduction

Protecting riparian sources of wood to streams has become a major 52component of forestry policy in western states (FEMAT, 1993; U.S. 53Forest Service and BLM, 1994). Examples include establishing riparian 5455protection zones for wood recruitment (Young, 2000), mandating or promoting stream wood abundance standards or targets (NMFS, 1996; 56Fox and Bolton, 2007), monitoring abundance of wood in streams 5758(Schuett-Hames et al., 1999), and implementing stream wood restoration programs (Cederholm et al., 1997). The processes of forest mortal-59ity, bank erosion, streamside landsliding, debris flows, and wildfires 60 61 govern the supply of wood to streams (e.g., Murphy and Koski, 1989; Benda and Sias, 2003). The spatial distribution of different wood recruit-62 63 ment processes within a watershed or across landscapes varies substan-64 tially because of the diversity in forest composition and age, topography,

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 510 415 6850; fax: +1 810 815 4291.

*E-mail addresses*: paul@bigwatershed.com (P. Bigelow), leebenda@earthsystems.net (L. Benda).

0169-555X/\$ – see front matter © 2013 Published by Elsevier B.V. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.11.028 stream size, climate, and the history of natural and human disturbances 65 (e.g., floods, fires, logging). 66

Spatial and temporal variability in wood recruitment processes can 67 complicate the management and regulation of stream wood in both 68 headwater channels (nonfish-bearing) and larger fish-bearing streams. 69 For example, site-specific riparian buffers could be designed based on 70 whether forest mortality, bank erosion, or mass wasting is the dominant 71 recruitment agent. If wood recruitment from channel migration or 72 landsliding is important, local buffers could conceivably extend outward 73 beyond streamside forests to protect such sources of wood (Reeves 74 et al., 2003). Riparian forests could be managed for specific ecological 75 objectives such as thinning dense young stands to increase the density 76 of large trees (Beechie et al., 2000) or altering conifer-hardwood com-77 position, strategies that require information on tree species and forest 78 growth and mortality (Liquori, 2006). Thus, an understanding of ripari-79 an processes that govern wood recruitment to streams can enhance 80 protection strategies for riparian forests across physically and ecologi- 81 cally diverse watersheds (Martin and Benda, 2001). 82

In California, the management of riparian areas is a major emphasis 83 in forest management (Ligon et al., 1999; Berbach, 2001). California's 84

2

#### P. Bigelow, L. Benda / Geomorphology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

forest practice rules require a standard riparian buffer width along all 85 86 fish-bearing streams (46 m, 150 ft) and smaller buffers a subset of nonfish-bearing streams, although some select timber harvest is 87 88 allowed within them. These buffer widths are based primarily on the presence or absence of fish or nonfish aquatic species, hillslope gradient, 89 and yarding system with no consideration of watershed to regional 90 scale variability in riparian processes. In 2010, California adopted new 9192 forest practice rules that allow for a more site-specific, spatially explicit 93 approach to riparian management (CAL FIRE, 2010).

94 Previous studies in California do not adequately characterize water-95shed to regional variability of wood recruitment to streams. For example, Harmon et al. (1986) and Lisle (2002) compiled stream wood 96 volumes across several regions in California limited to data available at 97 98 the time, where much of the data was from the humid north coastal areas and where the various surveys often used disparate measures of 99 stream wood. In coast areas, Keller et al. (1995) documented the abun-100 dance and effects of old-growth redwood logs on channel morphology, 101 while Wooster and Hilton (2004) measured stream wood volumes and 102accumulation rates, and Benda et al. (2002) estimated the relative con-103 tribution of forest mortality, bank erosion, and landsliding recruitment 104 to streams in managed and old-growth redwood forests. Studies in the 105 Sierra Nevada have focused on wood function and transport (Berg 106 107 et al., 1998), effects of wildfire on stream wood (Berg et al., 2002), and stream wood abundance and function in managed and old-growth for-108 ests (Ruediger and Ward, 1996). 109

Despite these studies, little information exists on the spatial variabil-110 ity in wood recruitment and its effects on channel morphology, across 111 112 different forest types, and in the more inland regions of California. To improve understanding and management of wood in streams across 113 northern California, our primary study objective was to summarize gen-114 eral patterns, processes, and controls on stream wood recruitment, stor-115116age, transport, and the effects of wood on channel morphology. Specific 117questions that underpin our study include:

- · How do wood volumes and recruitment processes vary at reach scales 118 and what controls the variation? 119
- What are the similarities and differences in wood dynamics between 120 regions? 121
- What are the distances to sources of stream wood and how do they 122 vary? 123
- · What are the dynamics of key wood pieces that form jams? 124
- What are the controls on wood-formed pools? 125
- What are the patterns of wood transport in streams? 126
- · What are the influences of forest management on stream wood? 127

This study uses a synoptic approach that compiles a large set of pre-128 vious wood surveys to quantify wood recruitment, storage, transport, 129130and other characteristics along ~95 km of streams primarily in small forested mountain basins ( $<30 \text{ km}^2$ ) with managed and unmanaged 131 forests. Such robust wood surveys are rare and revealed some unique 132findings, including the controls on spatial variation of wood in streams 133 and wood-formed pools. All the surveys used the same wood budget 134135methodology (similar to sediment budgets, e.g., Keller and Swanson, 1361979; Benda and Sias, 2003) and field crew. Few quantitative wood budgets have been published (e.g., Martin and Benda, 2001; Benda 137et al., 2002) and this study provides most comprehensive budget to 138date. The findings are useful to geomorphologists and forest managers 139concerned with wood in streams. 140

#### 2. Study areas 141

The study summarizes previously unpublished wood surveys we 142conducted along 65 km of channels surveyed in four California geomor-143 phic provinces (California Geological Survey, 2002), including the Coast 144 Ranges, Klamath Mountains, Cascade Range, and Sierra Nevada (west 145slope) (Fig. 1). Physical processes and attributes that may fundamental-146 147

ly influence the supply of wood to streams vary across these four

regions, including erosion rates, precipitation, peak-flow timing, and ri- 148 parian conifer species and biomass density (Table 1). Study reaches 149 were limited to basins <30 km<sup>2</sup> to minimize the effects of fluvial redis- 150 tribution of wood (e.g., Seo and Nakamura, 2009) and thereby to ensure 151 that adequate amounts of wood were available for identifying the pro- 152 cesses of recruitment (mortality, bank erosion, landsliding). To expand 153 the analysis, we included field data from a previous published study 154 we conducted using the same methods in the northern Coast Range, 155 encompassing 9 km of streams in basins <30 km<sup>2</sup> (Benda et al., 2002). 156 All the surveys combined cover a length of 76 km. To evaluate wood 157 transport, an additional 19 km of stream reaches in basins draining 158 areas from 30 to 70 km<sup>2</sup> were included to capture potentially longer 159 transport distances in larger streams. In total, data on wood recruit- 160 ment, storage, and transport from 95 km of streams from 73 reaches 161 are evaluated in this paper. 162

The study not only focused on fish-bearing streams but also included 163 smaller headwater (nonfish-bearing) channels. The study sites 164 encompassed a range of channel gradients, widths, drainage areas, 165 and forest biomass density (volume of trees per area, minimum tree 166 size for site-specific surveys was 10 cm in diameter and 1.5 m in height) 167 (Table 2). To evaluate the various wood metrics for potential influences 168 from regional and management controls, the surveyed reaches were 169 stratified into nine groups based on four geomorphic provinces and 170 three forest management groups (managed, less managed, unman- 171 aged) (Table 2). 172

Managed forests include private forests with individual trees 173 <100 years old that were often entirely or nearly clear-cut in the early 174 1900s to 1930s with no native forest remaining except for residual 175 old-growth trees in gorges that cannot be accessed. Some old aban- 176 doned logging roads were in riparian areas of managed forests, particu- 177 larly in the Coast Ranges, a result of legacy logging in the 1950s and 178 1960s prior to forest practice rules. Less-managed forests include public 179 and private forests that were selectively cut with some upslope 180 clearcutting; forests had longer harvest rotations than managed forests 181 and contain individual trees up to 200 or more years old, with some 182 remnant small stands of native forest. Riparian buffer zones were 183 along streams in managed and less-managed forests depending on the 184 stream type, including buffer widths of 7.6 m (25 ft, ephemeral 185 streams), 23 m (75 ft, streams with nonfish aquatic life), and 46 m 186 (150 ft, fish-bearing streams). Selective cutting occurred within the 187 buffers. Unmanaged forests include old-growth public parklands. A de- 188 scription of the forest metrics and harvest history available for private 189 managed and less managed forests is included in Appendix A. The ma- 190 jority of channels surveyed were in managed forests (51 km), followed 191 by less-managed (15 km), and unmanaged forests (11 km) (Table 2). 192

#### 2.1. Coast Ranges

Surveys took place in the Ten Mile and Noyo River watersheds near 194 Fort Bragg, CA (Fig. 1). Sites from the Benda et al. (2002) study included 195 tributaries of Redwood Creek (Redwood National and State Parks) and 196 tributaries of the Van Duzen River. The Mediterranean climate of the 197 northern Coast Ranges is characterized by high annual precipitation 198 (150–200 cm) that supports the coastal dominant species of coast red- 199 wood (Sequoia sempervirens), followed by Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga 200 menziesii) inland. Tan oak (Lithocarpus densiflorus), Pacific madrone (Ar- 201 butus menziesii), and Live oak (Ouercus wislizenii) are mixed with coni- 202 fers inland; while red alder (Alnus rubra), willow (Salix lasiandra), and 203 big leaf maple (Acer macrophyllum) are the dominant deciduous tree 204 species in riparian areas. Geology is mostly Franciscan mélange (Com- 205 plex), a mixture of highly deformed and weakly metamorphosed 206 sedimentary rocks, with some interbedded marine volcaniclastic sedi- 207 ments (Cashman et al., 1995). The mechanically weak rock in combina- 208 tion with heavy rainfall and tectonic uplift has created a steep landscape 209 highly prone to mass wasting that produces some of the highest erosion 210 rates in the continental United States (Nolan and Janda, 1995). Erosion 211

Please cite this article as: Bigelow, P., Benda, L., On the patterns and processes of wood in northern California streams, Geomorphology (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.11.028

P. Bigelow, L. Benda / Geomorphology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



Fig. 1. Location of the major drainages of the study sites and the geomorphic provinces of northern California (California Geological Survey, 2002). Refer to Tables 1 and 2 for regional characteristics.

rates in the Coast Ranges average 667 t  $\text{km}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$  based on reservoir sedimentation rates (Minear and Kondolf, 2009). Most of the erosion occurs during a few episodic winter storms, where a few large floods over the past century can dominate decadal sediment supply (e.g., Brown and Ritter, 1971; Kelsey, 1980) (Table 1).

#### 217 2.2. Klamath Mountains

Study sites in the Klamath Province included tributaries of the Trinity River (Fig. 1). The climate of the Klamaths has an annual average precipitation of ~130 cm y<sup>-1</sup>, falling as a mixture of rain and snow at higher elevation. The ripârian forest community is comprised of mixed conifers dominated by Douglas-fir, and also includes ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*), sugar pine (*Pinus lambertiana*), incense cedar (*Calocedrus decurrens*), and white fir (*Abies concolor*). Riparian

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.11.028

deciduous species include white alder (*Alnus rhombifolia*), Pacific dog- 225 wood (*Cornus nutallii*), big leaf maple, and black oak (*Quercus kelloggii*). 226 The geology consists primarily of metavolcanic, metasedimentary, and 227 granitic rocks, with some glacial deposits at higher elevations 228 (Harden, 1997). Like the Coast Ranges, this steep terrain is also highly 229 erosive (average erosion rate of 849 t km<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>; Minear and Kondolf, 230 2009), generated during intense winter storms, where post-fire erosion 231 may dominate sediment supply (e.g., Colombaroli and Gavin, 2010) 232 (Table 1). 233

#### 2.3. Cascade Range 234

Cascade study locations focused on tributaries to Antelope and Bat- 235 tle Creeks that drain to the Sacramento River (Fig. 1). The Mediterra- 236 nean climate of the Cascades is characterized by moderate annual 237

Please cite this article as: Bigelow, P., Benda, L., On the patterns and processes of wood in northern California streams, Geomorphology (2013),

P. Bigelow, L. Benda / Geomorphology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

precipitation that averages 110 to 120 cm  $y_{\perp}^{-1}$ . The riparian forest 238 community is comprised of mixed conifers dominated by ponderosa 239 pine and includes sugar pine, Douglas-fir, incense cedar, and white 240 fir. Riparian deciduous species include white alder, Pacific dogwood, 241 big leaf maple, and black oak. Cascade Range geology in the vicinity 242 of the study areas includes gently sloping volcanic tablelands inter-243 spersed with volcanoes and their remnants, including Lassen Peak and 244 Brokeoff Mountain (Harden, 1997). The harder rocks, gentler terrain, 245 and flows moderated by snow melt and low runoff spring-fed systems 246 appear to produce lower erosion rates in comparison to the Klamaths 247 and Coast Ranges. In a previous study, we estimated an erosion rate of 248 255 t km\_2 y\_1^{-1} for Judd Creek, one of the two Cascade sites in this 249 study, where most of erosion is predicted to occur following fires 250 (Benda et al., 2004) (Table 1).

2.4. Sierra Nevada

252

Study locations in the Sierra Nevada Province included tributaries to 253 the Yuba, American, Calaveras, and Stanislaus Rivers (Fig. 1). The Si- 254 erra's climate is characterized by cold winters and moderate annual pre- 255 cipitation that occurs as both rain and snow, primarily between late fall 256 and early spring, and averages from 103 to 128 cm  $y_{\perp}^{-1}$ . Unlike the 257 other geomorphic provinces in this study, Sierran annual peak flows 258 generally occur during the spring snowmelt, while mid-winter rain on 259 snow events have produced all the largest floods in major Sierra Nevada 260 rivers (Kattelmann, 1996). The riparian forest community in the study 261 areas is comprised of mixed conifers, including ponderosa pine, sugar 262 pine, Douglas-fir, incense cedar, white fir, Lodgepole pine (Pinus 263 contorta), and jeffrey pine (Pinus jeffryi). Noble fir (Abies procera) and 264 red fir (Abies magnifica) are also present at higher elevations of some 265 areas, while giant sequoia (Sequoiadendron giganteum) is dominant in 266 the old-growth (unmanaged) site. Riparian deciduous species include 267 varying proportions of willows, alders, maples, Pacific dogwood, and oc- 268 casional black cottonwood (Populus trichocarpa). The Sierra Nevada is a 269 tilted fault block composed of granitic, metamorphic, and volcanic 270 rocks. The snowmelt-moderated peak flows and harder rocks of the Si- 271 erra Nevada appear to produce lower erosion rates (350 t km<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>; 272Minear and Kondolf, 2009) in comparison to the Klamaths and Coast 273 Ranges (Table 1). Post-fire erosion likely plays a major role in sediment 274 supply (e.g., Ahlgren and Ahlgren, 1960). 275

#### 3. Methods

276

277

3.1. Wood recruitment

We evaluated wood recruitment to streams using a wood budget 278 (Benda and Sias, 2003), where the mass balance of wood is governed 279 by input, output, and decay, a relationship expressed as 280

$$\Delta S = [I\Delta x - L\Delta x + (Q_i - Q_o) - D]\Delta t \tag{1}$$

where  $\Delta S$  is a change in storage volume within a reach of length  $\Delta x$ , over **282** time interval  $\Delta t$ . Change in wood storage is a consequence of wood re- 283 cruitment (*I*); loss of wood from overbank deposition in flood events 284 and abandonment of jams (*L*); fluvial transport of wood into ( $Q_i$ ) and 285 out of ( $Q_o$ ) the segment; and in situ decay (*D*) (Benda and Sias, 2003). 286

Total wood input (*I*) can be summarized as 287

$$I = I_m + I_f + I_b + I_l + I_e$$
(2)

including tree mortality by suppression, disease, senescence, or sporadic **289** blowdown ( $I_m$ ); toppling of trees following stand-replacing fires and 290 windstorms ( $I_f$ ); inputs from bank erosion ( $I_b$ ); wood delivered by land-291 slides, debris flows, and snow avalanches ( $I_l$ ); and exhumation of wood 292 buried in the bed or bank or the recapture of wood previously deposited 293 on the banks ( $I_e$ ). 294

Physical processes and a	attributes that influence wood supply to s	treams by geomorphic province.				
Province	Mean sediment yield (t $\text{km}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$ )	Mean annual precipitation ( $\operatorname{cm} \operatorname{y}^{-1}$ )	Peak flow/erosion timing	Role of post-fire erosion	Dominant riparian conifer	Riparian biomass density <sup>a</sup> $(m^3 ha^{-1})$
Coast Ranges	667 <sup>b</sup>	150-200	Episodic winter storms	Moderate to low	Redwood	490–3941
Klamath Mountains	849 <sup>b</sup>	130	Episodic winter storms	High	Douglas fir	348
Cascade Range	255 <sup>c</sup>	110-120	Winter storms, spring snowmelt	Likely high	Mixed	196–902
Sierra Nevada	350 <sup>b</sup>	103–128	Spring snowmelt, rain on snow	Likely high	Mixed	106–258
<sup>a</sup> See Table 2 for deta	ls.					
<sup>b</sup> Minear and Kondoli	(2009), volumes converted to mass usin	g bulk density conversion factor of 1.6.				
<sup>c</sup> Benda et al. (2004).						

Table 1

#### P. Bigelow, L. Benda / Geomorphology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

#### t2.1 Table 2

t2.2 Physical characteristics of streams and forests for the nine region-forest groups; these characteristics cover the 76-km of streams that were used to evaluate wood storage and recruitment t2.3 in basins <30 km<sup>2</sup>

t2.4	Geomorphic province and forest management	No. of reaches (sites)	Total survey length (km)	Drainage (km²)	area	Stream gradient	(%)	Stream channel v (m)	vidth	Riparian biomass o (m <sup>3</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup>	lensity )	Annual forest growth (m <sup>3</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> )
t2.5				Mean	σ	Mean	σ	Mean	σ	Mean	σ	Mean
t2.6	Coast-unmanaged	5	4.5	16.8	8.2	2.6	2.3	15.8	1.6	3941	1627	-
t2.7	Coast-managed	34	20.7	6.4	6.9	6.7	8.1	4.9	3.5	490	409	1.52 <sup>a</sup>
t2.8	Klamaths—less managed	7	8.5	11.0	9.2	10.1	5.0	6.0	2.2	671	348	0.75 <sup>b</sup>
t2.9	Cascades—unmanaged	3	4.2	19.6	9.4	7.4	3.7	7.3	2.6	902	363	-
t2.10	Cascades-less managed	2	0.9	23.5	3.2	9.3	9.8	5.7	1.5	196	42	0.75 <sup>b</sup>
t2.11	Cascades-managed	6	5.5	6.0	5.9	8.8	5.9	3.5	1.2	596	129	0.79 <sup>b</sup>
t2.12	Sierras-unmanaged	1	2.0	5.8	_c	3.8	-	4.4	-	_d	-	-
t2.13	Sierras-less managed	2	5.2	16.3	5.6	2.7	0.4	4.0	1.1	258 <sup>e</sup>	72	0.93 <sup>e</sup>
t2.14	Sierras-managed	17	24.3	10.0	10.4	5.2	3.1	4.4	3.0	106 <sup>e</sup>	95	0.71 <sup>e</sup>

t2.15 <sup>a</sup> Estimate not based on site-specific data, as a proxy we used data from Waddell and Bassett (1996).

<sup>b</sup> Estimate not based on site-specific data, as a proxy we used data from Waddell and Bassett (1997a) that combines the Klamaths and the Cascades into one region, including areas east t2.16 into the Modoc Plateau.

t2.17 <sup>c</sup> Only one reach sampled

t2.18 <sup>d</sup> No data.

t2.19 e Estimate not based on site-specific data, as a proxy we used data from Waddell and Bassett (1997b) that combines the Sierras with areas west of Sacramento.

We focused solely on wood recruitment (1). Thus, we ignored over 295 296 bank deposition of wood and jam abandonment (L) and did not analyze 297wood flux by fluvial transport (Q). Loss of wood from overbank deposition and fluvial transport likely was small because we limited our anal-298 ysis of wood storage and recruitment to smaller basins with drainage 299areas <30 km<sup>2</sup> (76 km of channels; Table 2). We did assess certain as-300 pects of fluvial transport of wood (such as spacing between log jams) 301 302 and used an additional 19 km of surveys from larger basins (95 km of channels combined) and a transport model (Benda and Sias, 2003) to 303 predict mean transport distance over the lifetime of the pieces (see 304next section). Although we observed (but did not measure) exhumation 305 of buried wood in debris flow and alluvial deposits in coastal streams, 306 307 we set  $I_e$  to zero because we could not date the partially buried wood (necessary for estimating recruitment rates). Because decay of wood 308 mass occurs primarily through loss of density rather than volume 309 (Hartley, 1958), we omit loss of volume from decay in Eq. (1) as such 310 loss would be insignificant during our budget periods of up to four de-311 cades, where maximum decay classes are 20 to 42 years for deciduous 312 and conifer trees, respectively (see later). Moreover, in the 95 km of 313 streams surveyed in this study, we only observed loss of wood volume 314 in highly decayed rotten wood; most rotten wood could not be identi-315 316 fied to a recruitment source and therefore is not included in the recruit-317 ment rate calculation (see later). Our study sites did not include areas of 318 recent fires and thus post-fire toppling of trees (e.g., Harmon et al., 1986; Bendix and Cowell, 2010). We also did not encounter concentrat-319 ed toppling from intense windstorms (e.g., Reid and Hilton, 1998). 320 321 Given these constraints, Eq. (1) reduces to

$$\Delta S / \Delta t \Delta x = (I_m + I_b + I_l). \tag{3}$$

323

324 Although wood recruitment can be calculated using channel length or area, we use channel area to normalize wood volumes for channels 325 of different sizes. As the stream width increases, a larger portion of 326 tree and volume will intersect the stream. Consequently wood volumes 327 per channel area account for increasing wood volume with increasing 328 channel width, where as wood volumes per channel length do not. 329Using wood volumes per area (where wood volume is only measured 330 within the bankfull channel boundaries) allows for a comparative anal-331 ysis across channels of different sizes across the four physiographic re-332 333 gions. We also report wood storage per unit channel length.

#### 3.2. Fluvial transport of wood

Fluvial transport and redistribution of wood in streams are important when considering the role of headwater streams (nonfish-bearing) on the wood supply to larger, fish-bearing channels. We applied a wood transport model (Benda and Sias, 2003) in order to examine how a few landscape factors (channel size, tree size, jam spacing, and jam longevity) impose constraints on wood transport. 340

In that model, the transport distance ( $\xi$ ) over the lifetime of wood is 341 predicted by: 342

$$\xi(\mathbf{x},t) = L_j * \left(T_p/T_j\right) * \beta^{-1}(\mathbf{x},t) \quad \text{for } T_p \ge T_j,$$
(4)

where  $\xi$  is the mean transport distance [m] over the lifetime of a piece of 344 wood;  $L_j$  is the average distance between transport-impeding jams;  $T_p$  is 345 the lifetime in years of wood in fluvial environments;  $T_j$  is jam longevity 346 in years; and  $\beta$  is the proportion of channel spanned by a jam (Benda 347 and Sias, 2003). In this derivation, transport is limited to interjam spac-348 ing, and it can become a multiple of jam spacing ( $L_j$ ) when the lifetime of 349 mobile wood exceeds jam longevity ( $T_j$ ). We estimate jam longevity ( $T_j$ ) 350 from the average age of key pieces forming jams in a reach (see wood 351 age estimates later). In the absence of measurements on how wood 352 transport is affected by the proportion of a channel spanned by a jam, 353 transport of wood is assumed to be inversely and linearly proportional 354 to the ratio of piece length ( $L_p$ , pieces creating jams) to channel width 355 (w) ( $\beta = L_p / w$ ) (for additional details see Benda and Sias, 2003). 356

#### 3.3. Field data collection and analysis

We surveyed all pieces of wood within the bankfull channel that 358 were  $\geq 10$  cm in diameter (as measured in the middle of the log) and 359 1.5 m in length (after Sedell and Triska, 1977). Wood storage is reported in volume rather than number of pieces. Wood volume was calculated as a cylinder, using the piece length within the bankfull channel and 362 the diameter at the midpoint of the piece. Volumes of root wads were not included, and consequently wood volumes of such pieces are slope distance from the bankfull channel edge to its source (e.g., bank 366 erosion scarp, base of tree for mortality, top of landslide scarp) was 367 measured using a laser rangefinder. To estimate recruitment rates of 368 wood, the process by which each piece of wood entered the channel 369

334

357

6

## ARTICLE IN PRESS

#### P. Bigelow, L. Benda / Geomorphology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

was identified (recruitment wood) for a subpopulation of all pieces 370 371 (those where the source could be identified). Wood pieces were assigned one of four source categories: bank erosion (rootwad attached 372 373 and bank erosion scarp evident), mass wasting (streamside landslide, earth flow, debris flow), mortality (senescence, disease, or blow 374 down), or logging (saw marks). Pieces of wood that formed wood 375 jams (the accumulation of at least two pieces that blocked at least a 376 third of the channel) were noted as 'key' pieces (e.g., Bisson et al., 377 378 1987).

379 Where possible, the age of recruited wood (time since it was recruit-380 ed to the stream) was dated directly from dependent saplings by counting their growth rings using an increment borer, or the bole or pri-381 mary stem of the dependent sapling was cut with a saw and rings were 382 383 counted. A count of branch nodes was also used to age woody vegetation growing near or on trees and overturned stumps. In total, we 384 aged 489 pieces of wood that were also used to develop relationships 385 between wood decay class and age (see results later). The age of most 386 recruited wood surveyed could not be determined directly and was 387 assigned a decay class using a modified version of a snag classification 388 system developed by Hennon et al. (2002). Ages were later assigned 389 to these pieces based on the age-decay class relationships. Decay class 390 categories included: (i) wood with leaves or needles still intact, (ii) 391 392 wood with twigs intact (no needles), (iii) wood with full branches, 393 (iv) wood with primary branches, (v) wood with partial primary branches (nub), (vi) hard (solid) wood with no branches, and (vii) rot-394 ten wood with no branches. When calculating the age-decay class rela-395 tionships, we distinguished between humid coastal forests and the 396 397 other three drier inland regions because of climate differences that may affect decay rates; we also differentiated between conifer and de-398 ciduous trees. We did not differentiate decay classes by tree species be-399 cause it was often difficult to identify the species of older wood and 400 401 because the sample size of some species was limited.

402 To estimate  $\Delta t$  in Eq. (3), the arithmetic mean age of recruited wood in the study reach was used. The proportion of wood in each decay 403class was based on number of trees, rather than on volume, to reduce 404 the variability in  $\Delta t$  that can arise from variations in the temporal se-405406 quence of smaller or larger tree recruitment. Preferentially weighting 407the oldest wood in the calculation of  $\Delta t$  (e.g., Murphy and Koski, 1989) may yield an overestimate in the mean age of recruited wood. 408 By using an arithmetic mean, this error is countervailed by the loss of 409 wood with increasing age, a process that would tend to underestimate 410 411 the mean age. While this error is not quantified, it is likely similar or smaller than errors typically encountered in mass transfer budgets in 412 watersheds, such as in sediment budgets (e.g., Dietrich and Dunne, 413 1978) 414

In this study, residence time refers to the length of time wood re-415 416 mains within a given reach. We estimated the residence time (turnover time) of wood in streams by dividing the total volume of wood (exclud-417 ing logging-related wood) by the recruitment rate (e.g., Lienkaemper 418 and Swanson, 1987). This calculation assumes equivalence between 419 the input and output of fluvially transported wood. Because estimates 420 421 of wood recruitment are minimums considering that some transport 422 of wood occurs (and input may not always equal output over short time periods), residence times likely represent minimum values (e.g., 423 Wooster and Hilton, 2004). 424

The relative proportion of wood by volume that entered streams from varying distances away from channel banks is estimated. The resulting cumulative distributions are referred to as 'source distance curves' (McDade et al., 1990; Robison and Beschta, 1990). Distances to each source of wood were used to construct curves for each study segment and aggregated for each region-forest management group.

Channel morphology was characterized every 100 to 200 m within
the study segment reaches, including stream gradient using a laser
rangefinder or clinometer. Bankfull width was estimated using a tape
or laser range finder. The effect of stream wood on formation of pools

													13
Table 3 Summary of stream wood characteris	stics for the nine	ie region-forest gr	oups; these characteristics	s cover the 76-km	1 of streams that were	e used to evaluate wo	ood storage an	ld recruitme	ent in basins <	<30 km².			) xxx-;
Geomorphic province and forest management	% of recruit volume	t wood	Mean recruit wood diameter (m)	% of total woo	d storage volume by <sub>F</sub>	process	Total wood volume per area (m <sup>3</sup> h	l storage : stream a <sup>-1</sup> )		Total wood recruitmer (m <sup>3</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup>	1 nt rate y^1)	Residence time of wood in streams (years)	xxx
	Conifer	Deciduous		Unknown process	Known process (recruit)	Logging (cut)	Mean	Q	CV (%)	Mean	Q		t3.4
Coast-unmanaged	77	23	0.85	79	21	0	845	923	109	5.0	4.1	168	t3.5
Coast-managed	74	26	0.43	57	20	22	1059	1545	146	11.4	15.1	71	t3.6
Klamaths—less managed	06	10	0.43	42	54	4	190	160	84	9.7	11.7	19	t3.7
Cascades-unmanaged	94	9	0.41	39	60	1	13	10	77	6.5	3.5	2	t3.8
Cascades—less managed	17	83	0.18	45	55	0	54	45	83	8.7	9.3	6	t3.9
Cascades-managed	06	10	0.33	32	53	15	107	136	127	8.6	14.6	13	t3.10
Sierras-unmanaged	89	21	0.40	40	59	1	166	114	69	7.6	в	1	t3.11
Sierras—less managed	98	2	0.40	39	52	6	65	84	129	1.2	0.4	48	t3.12
Sierras-managed	91	6	0.34	49	37	14	226	289	128	9.2	19.4	20	t3.13
<sup>a</sup> Only one reach sampled.													t3.14

(with a residual depth over 0.5 m) was inventoried as well as other
pool-forming elements including bedrock, boulder, or hydraulic scour
or forcing (associated with outside meander bends or side channels
and tributary confluences).

#### 440 4. Results and discussion

#### 441 4.1. General patterns of wood in streams

A principle study objective was to evaluate the patterns in wood
storage and recruitment to improve understanding and management
of wood in northern California streams. Only a portion of all wood pieces
across all regions could be directly linked to a recruitment process
(range 20\_60%, average 46%; Table 3) and thus wood recruitment
rates and recruited wood storage volumes are based on a subsample

of pieces. Volumes of total wood storage (conifer and deciduous com-448 bined) comprise all sources, including recruited, unknown, and 449 logging-related wood. Overall, conifers dominated recruited total 450 wood storage (mean 88%) with the exception of the Cascades, less-451 managed forest site where deciduous trees accounted for 83% of the 452 in-stream wood volume (Table 3). Average diameters of recruited 453 trees in the coastal sites ranged from 0.5 to 1.7 m. Average diameters of recruited trees were similar across the Klamaths, Cascades, and Sierras (range 0.33–0.9 m; Table 3), with the exception of the Cascades, 456 less-managed forest group with an average diameter of 0.18 m, 457 reflecting the dominance of deciduous trees at this site. Logging-458 related wood averaged 7% across all sites, with 22% occurring in coastal appeared to be a legacy of tractor logging that occurred prior to 1970s 461 forest practice regulations. We also observed extensive incision of low 462



Fig. 2. Longitudinal plots of stream wood volumes by process and the local influences on recruitment processes, including earthflows, debris flows, tributary junctions, wide valleys, bedrock canyons, and braided channels. Three example stream segments from the (A) Sierras, (B) Klamath Mountains, and (C) Sierras are shown. Total wood volume included in stream wood that was not linked to a recruitment process.

8

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

order coastal streams, another result of legacy tractor logging where 463 464 small streams were filled with slash and sediment for use as skid trails, landings, and roads (Burns, 1972). While gullying of low order coastal 465 466 channels is also associated with nineteenth century logging, when stream wood was removed from channels to allow oxen and steam don-467 keys to drag cut logs down the channel (Reid et al., 2010), the incision 468 we observed was primarily in response to filling of streams during trac-469 tor era logging. 470

#### 471 4.2. Spatial variation in stream wood storage and recruitment

Processes and volumes of recruited wood were highly variable 472 across all study sites (wood volumes coefficient of variation range 473 474 69-146%; Table 3) caused by variations in geology, topography, valley width, and channel morphology along a study reach. For exam-475ple, along a continuous 8000-m segment of Pilot Creek (Sierra 476Nevada), high wood recruitment resulted from localized bank ero-477sion along streams bounded by earthflows and from elevated tree 478 mortality from floodplain aggradation in braided channel sections 479(Fig. 2A). In contrast, zones of low wood recruitment occur where 480 bank erosion is lower in more stable valley and canyon sections 481 with more competent banks, including bedrock banks. Spatially var-482 483 iable wood storage is also driven by wood recruitment from debris 484 flows originating in steep headwater channels. Along a 1000-m reach in the Klamath Mountains, wood from two debris flow de-485posits accounted for 27% of the recruited wood volume concentrated 486 along 100-200 m channel reaches (Fig. 2B). The strong influence of 487 488 local geology and valley width controls on the spatial distribution of wood recruitment processes and volumes often becomes more ap-489 parent with longer continuous surveys. This is best illustrated along 490 a 16-km survey of Haypress Creek in the Sierras, where stream wood 491 492 volumes decrease in wide valleys and peak near earthflows (Fig. 2C). Here, wood storage can vary by three orders of magnitude, a finding 493494 consistent with other long continuous wood surveys (e.g., Marcus et al., 2011; Wohl and Cadol, 2011). These observations add to the 495growing recognition of strong local geomorphic process controls on 496 the spatial variation of wood in streams, including valley width (ge-497 498 ometry), mass wasting, and tributary confluences (e.g., Benda and Sias, 2003; Comiti et al., 2006; Bigelow et al., 2007; Wohl and 499Cadol, 2011; Rigon et al., 2012). Forest management history may 500also influence the spatial distribution of wood in streams (e.g., 501502Czarnomski et al., 2008); however, detailed harvest histories were not available for our sites to make such evaluations. 503

504 We did not detect a clear relationship between wood volumes and 505channel size (width and drainage area) or channel gradient. While early wood studies with short surveys found a relationship between 506507channel size and wood volumes (e.g., Bilby and Ward, 1989), our findings are more consistent with longer continuous surveys that found 508no such correlation (e.g., Wohl et al., 2004; Marcus et al., 2011). One ex-509ception occurs in managed coastal forests, where wood recruitment 510from bank erosion was greater in small basins (<4.5 km<sup>2</sup>) compared 511512to larger watersheds  $(4.5-30 \text{ km}^2)$  (p < 0.13, Mann–Whitney test). 513This may be caused by historical tractor logging in which headwater coastal streams were often filled with slash and soil to create skid trails, 514roads, and landings (Burns, 1972). As a result, many of these low order 515coastal streams are now highly incised (gullied) and disconnected from 516517their floodplains, with actively eroding banks that undercut and recruit trees to the channel. 518

Wood recruitment processes do not appear to vary by channel 519size (basins < 30 km<sup>2</sup>) in our study because spatial variation in 520wood recruitment processes is driven primarily by local variation 521in watershed attributes such as earthflows, debris flows, streamside 522landslides, valley width, channel morphology (e.g., braided chan-523nels), tributary junctions, and canyons (Fig. 2). Many of these upland 524and riverine controls on wood recruitment are distributed in water-525526sheds based on geology, topography, and river network characteristics of individual watersheds. As one consequence of the high spatial vari- 527 ation in wood volumes and their local controls, setting targets for and 528 monitoring stream wood volumes (e.g., Fox and Bolton, 2007) may 529 be dubious or require very long continuous surveys. For example, variable wood volumes in Haypress Creek (83% coefficient of variation) do 531 not converge on a stable mean value until 4–5 km (Fig. 2C). Temporal 532 variation in pulses of wood õrecruitment from wind, floods, and fol-533 lowing wildfire (e.g., Mondry, 2004; Kaczka, 2009; Marcus et al., 534 2011) further complicates using 'reference conditions' for stream 535 wood. Alternatively, targets and monitoring may be more appropriate 536 and feasible for riparian forest stands (e.g., Pollock et al., 2012), the 537 source of stream wood. 538

4.3. Regional wood patterns 539

540

571

### 4.3.1. Regional patterns in wood storage volumes

Wood volumes in streams were fairly similar across regions of 541 northern California with the exception of the Coast Ranges 542 (Fig. 3A). There is significantly more wood storage per unit area in 543 the coast-forest groups compared to inland groups (p < 0.01, 544 Mann-Whitney test). Total stream wood storage averaged 850 to 545 1100 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> in both unmanaged and managed coastal forests 546 compared to 200 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> or less in the Klamaths, Cascades, and Si- 547 erras (Fig. 3A). On average, the coastal groups have 5 to 20 times 548 higher (up to 3 orders of magnitude) stream wood storage compared 549 to inland areas (Table 3; Fig. 4). The high wood storage in unman- 550 aged coastal forests is driven in part by the massive size of coast red- 551 wood trees (biomass density up to 10,000 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup>; Westman and 552 Whittaker, 1975) and slow decay, resulting in long stream residence 553 time (168 years; Table 3). Forest biomass is lower in coastal man- 554 aged forests (490  $\text{m}^3$  ha<sup>-1</sup>), but the high wood storage there (com- 555 pared to inland areas) may be related to higher growth rates 556 (Table 2), longer residence times of stream wood (71 years; 557 Table 3), and the considerable contributions of historical logging 558 slash and mass wasting to the total wood volume (22% and 25%, re- 559 spectively; Table 3, Fig. 5). In summary, the substantially higher 560 stream wood volumes in the Coast Ranges create a decreasing 561 trend in volumes from the coast eastward to Klamaths, Cascades, 562 and Sierra regions in concert with decreasing riparian forest biomass 563 density, wood residence times (Fig. 4), forest growth (productivity) 564 rates (Table 2), erosion rates (Table 1) and associated wood contri- 565 butions from landslides (Fig. 5), and amounts of logging slash 566 (Table 3). Of all these factors, higher forest biomass and growth 567 rates (productivity) of redwood forests (Table 2) are likely the pri- 568 mary driver of higher wood volumes in coastal streams compared 569 to inland areas. 570

#### 4.3.2. Regional patterns in wood recruitment rates and processes

Wood was recruited to streams by a variety of processes (mortal- 572 ity, bank erosion, landsliding) across all regions, with landslide re- 573 cruitment more common in the coastal and Klamath regions 574 (Fig. 5). Wood recruitment rates represent the rate of supply of 575 wood to streams over time and require an estimate of the wood 576 age. For coastal sites, we combined age data from the northern red- 577 wood region contained in Benda et al. (2002) with data from the 578 southern coastal sites that yielded 140 aged pieces for conifers and 579 40 pieces for deciduous trees. The mean ages for seven decay classes 580 of conifer and deciduous trees individually ranged from 1 to 48 years 581 (unpooled data; Table 4). As a result of variable decay rates, several 582 age-decay classes overlapped. These classes were pooled to create 583 four decay classes with different mean ages (p < 0.25, Tukey HSD) 584 (pooled data; Table 4). Mean ages for recruited wood in the Klamath, 585 Cascade, and Sierra geomorphic provinces (combined: 225 conifer 586 and 84 deciduous pieces) ranged from 1 to 40 years. The 7 decay 587 classes were also pooled into 4 classes (Table 5), similar to the coast- 588 al sites. Note that older rotten stream wood was not included in the 589

P. Bigelow, L. Benda / Geomorphology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



Fig. 3. Cumulative distributions of (A) total wood storage (per unit channel area) and (B) total wood recruitment rate are plotted according to region-forest management groups. At least five reaches were required for calculating distributions of recruitment rates, a number only available in five region-forest groups.

rate calculation because it could not be identified to a recruitment source, hence the rates reflect more recent recruitment of wood to streams.

Because recruitment rates are calculated for each forest management group (involving multiple reaches), the sample size for recruitment rates is smaller than wood storage volumes (calculated at individual 100-m reach intervals). Consequently, for statistical comparisons, region-forest groups needed at least five sites, leaving Coast unmanaged and managed forests, Klamath less-managed forests, Cascades managed forests, and Sierras managed forests (Table 2).

600 Wood recruitment by bank erosion is important across all regions, ranging from 22 to 63% of the total recruitment rate 601 (Fig. 5A). Because conifer trees dominate in riparian forests, forest 602 mortality was typically higher for conifers compared to deciduous, 603 604 with the exception of the Sierras (Table 6). Wood recruitment rates from mortality were also substantial across all regions, com-605 prising 37–78% of the total wood recruitment rate (Fig. 5A). Wood 606 recruitment by landsliding occurred in the coastal, Klamath and Cas-607 cade regions, ranging from 11 to 22% of total recruitment (Fig. 5A). 608 Wood recruitment by landsliding contributes to the high overall 609 wood recruitment rates in the coastal unmanaged and managed for-610 ests, as well as in the Klamath and Cascade less-managed forests 611 (Fig. 5). We observed wood recruitment by landsliding in Sierra 612 613 streams, but only in drainage areas beyond the 30-km<sup>2</sup> study limitation for analysis of wood volumes and recruitment (Fig. 2C). 614 These larger basins were not analyzed for wood recruitment and 615 storage to minimize the effects of fluvial redistribution; however, 616 they were analyzed for wood transport (see later). 617

Similar to the differences in wood storage volume between coastal 618 forests and inland sites, wood recruitment rates between the two 619 areas also varied (Fig. 3). The coastal managed forests had the highest 620 recruitment rates reflecting relatively large inputs from mass wasting 621 (Fig. 5A). Coastal unmanaged forests had the lowest recruitment rates 622 reflecting low forest mortality rates (e.g., Benda et al., 2002), despite 623 very high biomass densities (Table 2). The remaining inland groups 624 (Cascade managed, Klamath less-managed, and Sierra less-managed) 625 had similar rates, although Sierra managed had the highest rates 626 (Fig. 3B).

#### 4.4. Distances to sources of wood 628

Source distance curves quantify the proportion of riparian wood 629 delivered according to distance away from the channel edge by bank 630 erosion, forest mortality and landsliding (McDade et al., 1990; Van 631 Sickle and Gregory, 1990). Shapes of source distance curves are strongly 632 influenced by the processes of wood recruitment, particularly at the 633 reach scale (Benda et al., 2002). For example, a majority of wood volume 634 is recruited close to the channel edge where bank erosion dominates 635

#### P. Bigelow, L. Benda / Geomorphology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



Q2 Fig. 4. Coastal to inland (west to east) plots of (A) total wood storage, (B) stream wood residence time, and (C) riparian forest biomass in northern California. Total wood volumes shown here exclude unnatural sources from logging (cut wood). The Sierras unmanaged site is omitted because of the absence of forest biomass information.



Fig. 5. The proportion of (A) wood recruitment rates by process and (B) recruited wood volume across the nine region-forest management groups in northern California.

#### t4.1 Table 4

t4.2 Age statistics for stream wood decay classes in the Coast Range; age of decay classes was
t4.3 determined from dependent saplings and other indicators and used to assign ages to
t4.4 recruited wood, mean age values in years.

t4.5	Unpooled				Pooled			
t4.6	Decay class	Mean	σ	n	Class	Mean	σ	n
t4.7	Conifers							
t4.8	Needle <sup>a</sup>	1.0	-	-	Needle <sup>a</sup>	1.0	-	-
t4.9	Twig	4.1	1.5	12	Twig, branch	5.0	2.4	25
t4.10	Branches	5.9	2.7	13	Primary, nub	17.9	15.1	30
t4.11	Primary branches	10.0	10.5	15	Hard, rotten	42.4	27.6	85
t4.12	Nub	25.7	15.0	15				
t4.13	Hard	41.2	27.6	70				
t4.14	Rotten	47.9	27.8	15				
t4.15 t4.16	Deciduous							
t4.17	Leaf <sup>a</sup>	1.0	_	_	Leaf <sup>a</sup>	1.0	_	_
t4.18	Twig <sup>b</sup>	4.1	1.5	12	Twig <sup>b</sup> , branch	4.4	1.8	19
t4.19	Branch	5.1	2.1	7	Primary, nub, hard	11.2	6.4	13
t4.20	Primary branches	10.0	0.0	2	Rotten	20.5	14.3	8
t4.21	Nub	9.0	0.0	1				
t4.22	Hard	11.6	7.3	10				
t4.23	Rotten	20.5	14.3	8				

t4.24 <sup>a</sup> Age of needle and leaf decay classes are assumed to be 1 year.

<sup>b</sup> Twig decay class data was not available for deciduous trees, so conifer data was used t4.25 as a surrogate.

636 (Fig. 6A and B). Mortality recruitment extends the source distance curves away from the channel edge. Landslides extend the curves even farther 637 638 from the channel up the hillslopes. Forest management histories and thus tree age and height influence the source distance; managed forests 639 640 with smaller trees have shorter source distances compared to lessmanaged and unmanaged forests with taller trees (Fig. 6B). In managed 641 forests of the Sierras and Cascades where no landslides were en-642 countered, 90% of the wood originates from within 10 m of the 643 channel; the remaining 10% is supplied from a distance equivalent 644 to one tree height. We did not observe increased blowdown of 645 trees from narrow buffers that may influence source distance 646 curves. Rather, short source distances are related to bank erosion 647 that dominates wood recruitment in managed forests of the Sierras 648 649 and Cascades (62% and 63%, respectively; Fig. 5A). Shorter source

#### t5.1 Table 5

4.1.1.2. Age statistics for stream wood decay classes in the Klamaths, Cascades, and Sierras (all combined); age of decay classes was determined from dependent saplings and other indicators and used to assign ages to recruited wood, mean age values in years.

Unpooled				Pooled			
Class	Mean	σ	n	Class	Mean	σ	n
Conifers							
Needle <sup>a</sup>	1.0	0.1	27	Needle	1.0	0.1	27
Twig	4.3	2.5	51	Twig, branch	5.0	3.9	85
Branch	6.2	5.3	34	Primary, nub	11.8	7.6	65
Primary branches	11.8	7.6	54	Hard, rotten	29.8	17.1	48
Nub	11.5	7.8	11				
Hard	28.0	17.3	41				
Rotten	40.3	12.3	7				
Deciduous							
Leaf <sup>a</sup>	1.0	0.1	25	Leaf, twig, branch	2.0	1.7	53
Twig	2.9	2.2	18	Primary, nub	6.8	4.9	22
Branch	2.8	1.8	10	Hard, rotten	12.9	11.0	9
Primary branches	7.0	3.6	13				
Nub	6.4	6.5	9				
Hard	12.8	4.7	6				
Rotten	13.2	20.6	3				

t5.24 <sup>a</sup> Age of needle and leaf decay classes is assumed to be 1 year.

distances are also found in deciduous forests. For example, 77% of 650 wood recruited in the Cascades, less-managed forests is from decid-651 uous trees (Table 3), where recruitment from mortality is limited by 652 small deciduous trees that skew the source distance curves closer to 653 the channel (Fig. 6C). In contrast, 90% of the wood originates from 654 within 30 m of the channel in managed coastal forests (Fig. 6D), 655 where landslides comprise 22% of the recruitment rate (Fig. 5A). In 656 less-managed forests with taller trees and smaller contributions 657 from landslides (0\_18% of recruitment rate; Fig. 5A), 90% of the 658 wood is derived from within 15 to 35 m of the channel (Fig. 6C). 659 In unmanaged and taller coastal redwood and Sierran sequoia for-660 ests, the source distance for 90% of wood recruitment is between 661 35 and 50 m (Fig. 6C).

Overall, regional variability in source distance curves is driven primarily by tree height, where the taller trees of the coastal redwood area have the greatest source distance (Fig. 6), with site potential tree (old growth) heights of 80 m (270 ft) or taller (Viers, 1975). Reach to aged (younger) forests have shorter source distances (Fig. 6C and D). Otherwise, reach-scale variation in wood recruitment processes (bank erosion, landsliding and mortality) governs variation in source distances (Fig. 6A and B). The occurrence of deciduous forests can dramatically shorten the source distances, driven by the concentration of deciduous trees located near channels.

#### 4.5. Recruitment of key pieces forming wood jams

The majority of key pieces in managed forests are recruited by bank 675 erosion (60–70%), while mortality supplies just over half of key pieces 676 to streams in unmanaged forests (51–52%) and the remaining portion 677 coming primarily from bank erosion (Fig. 7). Streamside landsliding is 678 locally important in recruiting key pieces of wood in the coastal, Cascades, and Klamath Mountains (up to 25%). Data are not available on key pieces in unmanaged coastal forests. 681

The diameter of key pieces of wood that form log jams ranged from 682 about 0.3 m to > 1.5 m and averaged 0.72 m (Fig. 8). The majority of key 683 pieces with diameters >0.8 m are located in the coastal unmanaged and 684 coastal managed regions, indicating the importance of large trees and 685 the legacy of large older logs left in streams following mid-twentieth 686 century logging (Table 3). 687

#### 4.6. Wood formation of pools

#### Pools in all study reaches (except in the Klamaths, no data) were associated with one of four pool-forming processes: hydraulic scour (outside meander bends, tributary, and side channel confluences), bedrock, 691 boulder, and wood. Wood-formed pools averaged 35% and ranged from 9 to 78% across all region-forest management groups (Fig. 9). Two of the highest values (>50%) occurred in the coastal groups where channel gradients averaged 2 to 7% (Table 2). Boulder-formed pools dominated in the Cascades and boulder and bedrock pools dominated in the Sierras. Hydraulic scour pools occurred mostly in low gradient (average 2.5%) channels that meander through meadows of the Sierras, 698 less-managed forests.

Combining the data from all regions, we found the highest propor-700 tion of wood-formed pools in association with the lowest stream 701 power (Fig. 10A), while boulder- or bedrock-formed pools were more 702 common in reaches with high stream power (Fig. 10B). These same re-703 lationships were also found in Oregon coastal streams (Stack, 1988). 704 The proportion of wood- or boulder-formed pools showed no correla-705 tion with gradient or drainage area alone. While the morphology and 706 physical processes in large channels with low slopes (pool-riffle) are707 fundamentally different than small channels with high slopes (step-708 pool) (Montgomery and Buffington, 1997), they both have low stream power where wood is more likely to deposit and potentially form710

674

688

#### P. Bigelow, L. Benda / Geomorphology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

### 12

Table 6

#### t6.1

t6.2 Wood recruitment rates from bank erosion and landsliding are shown for region-forest groups with at least 5 study segments; wood recruitment rates from mortality are shown for all groups for more detailed comparisons of mortality rates.

t6.4	Geomorphic province and	Conifer mo	ortality	Deciduous	mortality	Total mort	ality	Bank erosi	on	Landslidin	g
t6.5	forest management	(all values	in <mark>m</mark> <sup>3</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> y <sup>-</sup>	-1)							
t6.6		Mean	σ	Mean	σ	Mean	σ	Mean	σ	Mean	σ
t6.7	Coast-unmanaged	1.3	1.3	0.5	0.8	1.9	1.6	2.5	1.7	0.7	1.6
t6.8	Coast-managed	2.9	5.8	1.7	4.0	4.6	8.5	4.4	4.4	2.5	7.9
t6.9	Klamaths-less managed	5.5	10.6	0.8	1.2	6.3	10.6	2.3	1.2	1.0	0.9
t6.10	Cascades—unmanaged	3.2	1.7	0.4	0.5	3.7	2.1				
t6.11	Cascades-less managed	0.42	0.5	3.5	3.4	3.9	3.8				
t6.12	Cascades-managed	2.6	3.3	0.6	0.8	3.2	3.7	5.4	11.0	_a	-
t6.13	Sierras-unmanaged	3.0	_b	1.2	-	4.2	-				
t6.14	Sierras-less managed	0.9	1.0	0.004	0.03	0.94	1.1				
t6.15	Sierras-managed	1.6	1.4	3.1	11.8	4.7	11.5	4.5	8.0	-	-

t6.16 <sup>a</sup> No landsliding observed.

t6.17 <sup>b</sup> Only a single segment surveyed.



Fig. 6. (A) Conceptual planform of wood recruitment zones based on source distances for reaches dominated by various recruitment processes. The varying source distances have implications for the design on streamside protection areas. (B) Distances to sources of stream wood in Sierra reaches with different dominant recruitment processes (bank erosion, mortality, landsliding, and forest management). (C) Distances to sources of stream wood in less-managed and unmanaged forests. (D) Source distance in managed forests of the coast, Cascade, and Sierra regions. Data includes all recruitment processes including mortality, bank erosion and landsliding; landsliding occurs in coast unmanaged, coast less-managed, Klamath lessmanaged, and coast and Sierra managed groups.

#### P. Bigelow, L. Benda / Geomorphology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



Fig. 7. Histogram showing the percentage of key pieces forming wood jams by recruitment process for each of the 9 region-forest management groups, from west to east.

pools. Other factors we did not measure may also influence the locationof wood-formed pools, such as flow depth.

#### 713 4.7. Fluvial wood transport patterns

We did not observe regional or management influences on patterns 714 in wood transport, rather drainage area appeared to be the primary con-715 trolling factor on wood transport (Figs. 11 and 12). Across the four study 716 717 regions in northern California, field measurements of stream wood in 718 basins <70 km<sup>2</sup> indicate the distance between wood jams (<10 m in the smallest streams to several hundred meters in larger channels) in-719 creased with drainage area (Fig. 11A). Similarly, the proportion of the 720 channel spanned or blocked by jams (100 to 30%) decreased with drain-721 722age area (Fig. 11B), and jam age (45 years to <10 years) decreased with 723drainage area (Fig. 11C). All of these spatial trends are anticipated in fluvial wood transport (Benda and Sias, 2003). The statistical regressions 724 for these parameters, along with an assumed lifetime of wood in fluvial 725environments ( $T_p$ ) of 100 years (using a 3% y<sup>-1</sup> wood decay rate; 726 Benda and Sias, 2003), are used in Eq. (4) to predict wood transport dis-727 tance. Predicted wood transport distance (over the lifetime of wood in 728 streams) varied from <100 m to several thousand meters in channels 729with drainage areas of 1 to 75 km<sup>2</sup>, with transport distance increasing 730 with drainage area ( $r^2 = 0.52$ ; Fig. 12A). 731

If fluvially mobile pieces are defined as log length less than chan-nel width (e.g., Lienkaemper and Swanson, 1987), then the percent

of mobile pieces (out of the total inventoried pieces of wood) 734 ranged from about 30% to almost 100%, providing a weak positive 735 correlation ( $r^2 = 0.54$ ) between mobile pieces and drainage area 736 (Fig. 12B). 737

The transport of wood by stream flow is an important consideration 738 in the mass balance of stream wood. For example, knowing the propor-739 tion of wood in fish-bearing streams that originates from headwater 740 channels (nonfish-bearing) could inform riparian protection strategies 741 of such small streams. In addition, wood transport may also affect the 742 redistribution of pieces and the formation of wood accumulations 743 (jams), including their size and spacing. This may have implications 744 for the formation and spatial distribution of aquatic habitats throughout 745 channel networks. 746

Relative to estimating wood recruitment rates in streams, estimating 747 fluvial wood transport distance remains a more imprecise science. In 748 this study we applied a simple model (Benda and Sias, 2003), parame-749 terized by field data (Eq. (4): jam spacing, proportion of the channel 750 blocked by the jam, jam age, and wood decay), to make estimates of av-751 erage wood transport distance in streams (over the lifetime of wood in 752 streams). The results indicate that in small headwater streams 753 (<2 km<sup>2</sup>), average wood transport distances may range from 50 to 754 250 m, which is likely an overestimate considering that most headwa-755 ter channels have low stream power and flow depths to move wood. 756 Excluding potential transfer of wood by debris flows, this suggests 757 that only the lower portion of headwater channels may transport 758



Fig. 8. Plot of the mean diameter of key pieces that create wood jams by drainage area.

13

#### P. Bigelow, L. Benda / Geomorphology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



Fig. 9. Histogram showing the percentage of pools formed by process for each of the 9 region-forest management groups, from west to east.

woody debris to larger fish-bearing streams. While the relationship be-759 tween transport distance and channel size is moderate ( $r^2 = 0.52$ ; 760 Fig. 12A), it could be used to create watershed-scale maps of wood 761 transport to help guide field studies or riparian protection strategies. 762 Such strategies might also consider identifying and protecting the 763 sources of wood to headwater streams that transfer wood to larger 764 streams by debris flows, for example using combined wood recruitment 765 and debris flow modeling (e.g., Burnett and Miller, 2007). 766

In contrast to our findings on wood transport, Lassettre and Kondolf 767 (2003) observed and modeled wood transport in a coastal stream 768 where 90% of the wood transport distances exceeded jam spacing dur-769 ing flood events ( $\geq$  15 years), suggesting second-order channels (drain-770 771 age area 6.5  $\text{km}^2$ ) may be a more important source of wood to larger fish-bearing streams. The different findings suggest that further field 772 measures and more sophisticated models are needed to clarify the mag-773 nitude of wood supplied from low to high order streams by fluvial trans-774 775 port. For example, Lassettre and Kondolf (2003) showed that jams are

destroyed during certain magnitude floods or that flows overtop jams 776 allowing wood transport past wood obstructions. Thus the parameter 777 of jam longevity in Benda and Sias (2003) could be reduced based on 778 flood magnitude or that effectiveness of wood capture by jams could 779 be reduced during large floods. Further research on fluvial transport of 780 wood at all scales is also merited because the majority of wood in 781 streams is fluvially transported and cannot be identified by recruitment 782 source (39 to 79% of the wood in our study; Table 3). 783

#### 4.8. Forest management influences on stream wood

How does forest management affect the patterns of wood in 785 streams? While the whole size distribution of wood pieces contributes 786 to complex habitat, larger wood is typically more geomorphically effec- 787 tive and beneficial for aquatic habitat (e.g., large wood creates large 788 pools; Rosenfeld and Huato, 2003). While smaller pieces of wood may 789 cause some sediment storage and the creation of small steps that reduce 790

784



Fig. 10. (A) Relation between the percentage of wood formed by wood and stream power. (B) Relation between the percentage pools formed by boulders/bedrock and stream power. The stream power index is the product of slope and drainage area.

P. Bigelow, L. Benda / Geomorphology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



Fig. 11. Relation between drainage area and (A) the distance between log jams, (B) percent of channel blocked by jams, and (C) jam age. These relationships are used in Eq. (4) to predict average transport distances of wood in Fig. 12.

stream energy in small headwater streams (nonfish-bearing) (Jackson 791 and Sturm, 2002), larger logs are more effective in trapping sediment 792 in steep headwater streams that are prone to debris flows (May and 793 794Gresswell, 2003). Previous studies have documented clear differences in the size and influence of stream wood in younger (managed) and 795 older (unmanaged) forests (e.g., Bilby and Ward, 1991; Ralph et al., 796 1994; Benda et al., 2002). We detected similar trends that were driven 797 simply by the diameter and height of trees in younger and older forests. 798 799 Owing smaller tree heights, managed (younger) forests in all regions 800 have shorter distances to sources of wood compared to less-managed and unmanaged (older) forests (Fig. 8). Similarly, managed forests in 801 all regions had the smallest diameter of recruited wood pieces 802 (Table 3), also reflecting the smaller trees in managed forests. The re-803 804 cruitment of large wood pieces that create jams (mean diameter 0.7 m) is primarily by bank erosion in managed forests and by mortality 805 in unmanaged forests (Fig. 9A). This dynamic also likely reflects the 806 smaller riparian tree size in managed forests, where small tree tops re-807 cruited farther from the channel by mortality often do not have the girth 808 to be an effective key piece, while trees recruited by bank erosion in-809 clude rootwads and thicker trunks with more geomorphic influence 810 on streams to create jams. In unmanaged forests, larger trees recruited 811 by mortality do have sufficient size to be geomorphically effective key 812 813 pieces that create jams.

We also evaluated how forest mortality and thus wood recruitment 814 from mortality vary between managed and unmanaged forests. Three of 815 the study regions have wood recruitment data spanning managed to un- 816 managed forests. We omit the coastal data based on the absence of a 'less- 817 managed forest' category and because unmanaged redwood forests have 818 very low mortality rates (e.g., 0.025% y<sup>-1</sup> compared to 0.5% y<sup>-1</sup> for 819 other coastal conifer forests, e.g., Franklin, 1979). In the Cascades 820 and Sierras, the managed forests had moderately high mortality re- 821 cruitment rates that were higher than the less-managed forests 822 (Cascades: 2.6 versus  $0.42 \text{ m}^3 \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ ; Sierras: 1.6 versus 823 0.9 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>), but lower than the unmanaged forests (3.2 and 8243.0 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) for the Cascades and Sierras (Table 6). This pattern 825 may reflect the forest mortality changes that accompany forest growth 826 in general, where managed forests have high mortality during the stem 827 exclusion stage, while less-managed forests with vigorous growth have 828 the lowest mortality, and unmanaged (older) forests with increasing 829 senescence have the highest mortality rates (Spies and Franklin, 830 1988). Because these rates are back-calculated (e.g., Benda and Sias, 831 2003) and therefore preliminary, more direct measurements of mortal-832 ity rates in forests would advance our understanding about how forest 833 age influences wood recruitment rates. 834

The temporal pattern of mortality rates indicates that although mor-  $_{835}$  tality may be higher in managed forests, the wood recruited to the  $_{836}$ 

#### P. Bigelow, L. Benda / Geomorphology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



**Fig. 12.** (A) Predictions of wood transport distance using Eq. (4) and the regressions in Fig. 11 (for distances between jams, proportion of channel blocked by jams, and jam age), and assuming a lifetime of wood in streams of 100 years (using a  $3\% y^{-1}$  wood decay rate). (B) Relation between drainage area and the percent of mobile pieces increases downstream. Fluvially mobile pieces are defined (assumed) to be any pieces shorter than the channel width.

streams is of smaller diameter (Table 3). The lower mortality recruitment
when trees are vigorously growing following stem exclusion may depress wood storage in streams. Wood storage may increase in the unmanaged (older) forests when forest mortality increases (Table 6).

#### 841 5. Implications for riparian management

From this study, we outline several implications for riparian management in California, with specific reference to wood supply and function in streams. Other factors such as thermal loading, erosion and
sediment delivery, nutrient input, and terrestrial wildlife habitat requirements may dictate other riparian management considerations.

 Spatially variable protection. Significant variability in recruitment, stor-847 848 age, and source distances of stream wood results from varying upland and riverine watershed attributes. The dimensions of riparian protec-849 tion zones (width, location) could be spatially variable depending on 850 the dominant wood recruitment process such as bank erosion, forest 851 mortality, and landsliding associated with various geologic, topograph-852 853 ic, and river network controls. Implementing a spatially explicit ap-854 proach to riparian protection could include some field work in combination with GIS-based terrain mapping to predict wood recruit-855 ment. 856

- Targets and monitoring. Reference wood storage targets in restoration or monitoring have no clear basis because of the large spatial and temporal variability in stream wood recruitment processes and storage volumes.
   Consequently, targets for and monitoring of riparian forest stands (the source of stream wood) may be more appropriate (e.g., Pollock et al., 2012).
- Source distances. Most wood recruitment comes from within ~40 m of
   streams in less-managed forests, and upwards of 50 m or more in old growth forests. These patterns could be used to design site-specific
   stream protection measures to ensure adequate wood recruitment to
   streams.

- Near stream protection. Bank erosion is often an important process of 868 wood recruitment to streams. Trees recruited by bank erosion include 869 rootwads that typically have more geomorphic influence in streams. 870 Consequently, streamside trees potentially recruited by bank erosion 871 could be one focus of protection (e.g., no selective cutting within 5 m 872 of the stream).
- Protection of mass wasting source areas. Sources of wood from landslides 874 and debris flows can be locally important in all regions, but particularly 875 in the coastal and Klamath landscapes (e.g., Mondry, 2004). Protection 876 of such sources could be delineated through modeling (e.g., Burnett 877 and Miller, 2007).
- Wood transport. Fluvial transport of wood may range from a couple of 879 hundred meters in headwater streams (or less) to several thousand meters in larger streams. This information provides a first-order approximation of the connectivity between fish- and nonfish-bearing streams with respect to wood flux.
- Additional research. Riparian forest policy in California would greatly 884
   benefit from larger studies of unmanaged riparian forests and areas 885
   with varying lag times since natural disturbance (e.g., fire and flooding). 886
   Episodic wood supply following wildfire in drier regions of California 887
   may be a substantial component of long-term wood recruitment to 888
   streams (e.g., Bendix and Cowell, 2010), similar to other regions (e.g., 889
   Arseneault et al., 2007; King et al., 2013).

#### Acknowledgments

This research was supported by Earth Systems Institute, Bigelow 892 Watershed Geomorphology, the California Department of Forestry and 893 Fire Protection, Sierra Pacific Industries, and Campbell Timberland Management. Field work was conducted by Paul Bigelow, Kevin Andras, and 895 Thomas Worsley. Reviews by Neil Lassettre, Pete Cafferata, Peter Ribar, 896 Cajun James, three anonymous journal referees, and the editor Richard 897 Marston improved the manuscript. 898

891

### P. Bigelow, L. Benda / Geomorphology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

### Appendix A. Harvest history for selected forest types

t7.1	Geomorphic province	Forest type	Watershed	Harvest years	Age range	Diameter range	Height range	Species composition (%)	Descriptive harvest history
					(yrs)	(m)	(m)		
t7.2	Coast	Managed	LNF Noyo	1900, 1960s, 1980s	20–100	1.8–18	9–51	Rwd <sup>a</sup> -65, DF <sup>b</sup> - 10, WW <sup>c</sup> -10, Hwds <sup>d</sup> -15	Originally logged around 1900 (essentially a clearcut scattered non-merch/ cull trees left; areas burned). Road building adjacent to the creek conducted in 1965 and partial cuts conducted by tractor throughout watershed in the mid-late 1960s; partial cut of riparian areas/shelterwoods, clearcuts conducted upslope in 1980s; cable yarding near streams with tractors up- slope. Binarian areas have been partially barvested
t7.3	Coast	Managed	Redwood	1930s, 1980s- present	20–70	1.8–11	7–43	Rwd-65 DF-20, Hwds-15	Entire watershed logged between late 1920s and mid-1930s, all by railroad spurs along the mainstream portions of the watershed (essentially a clearcut scattered non-merch/cull tees left; areas burned). Yarding conducted principally by skyline upslope to ridges rail inclines used to transport logs back down to mainline railroad. Road construction into watershed commenced in late 1970s, with harvest re-entries in the 1980s on. Only small portion of the old railroad grades have been utilized. Roads constructed to facilitate cable yarding along major streams and tractor yarding upslope. Silviculture is a mixture of clearcut and selection. Riparian areas partially harvested in the 1980s utilizing thinning from below.
t7.4	Coast	Managed	Bear Haven	1940s 1960s-70s 1990s on	30–60	1.8–11	8–43	Rwd-55, DF-30, WW-10, Hwds-5	Logging commenced in this watershed in the early 1940s. Railroad was constructed up the bottom of the main branch and South Fork. Railroad later converted to a truck road. Most of area after about 1950 was yarded by tractors. The next significant harvest occurred in the late 1960s. Earliest logging was an economic clearcut that removed the largest trees to remove the lands from the tax roles. Subsequent entries cut residual trees down to smaller diameters. Substantially all residual old growth trees were harvested by the early 1980s. Harvesting commenced in the young growth in the early 1990s. Silviculture applied has included a mixture of selection, commercial thinning and clearcut. Riparian areas have been partially harvested.
t7.5	Cascades	Managed	Judd	1870s–1900s 1960s– present	30-100	0.6–11	9–38	PP <sup>e</sup> -35, SP-10, WF-35, DF-6, IC <sup>f</sup> -13, Hwds-1	Originally logged around 1900–1930s: oxen logging, a near complete clear cut. Road building to access in modern times, 1950s built off of old railroad grades, selection cut and thinnings from the 1950s through the 1990s, tractor based clearcutting with riparian buffers begin in 1995. Partial Cutting in the riparian areas from 1950s on. Entire drainage is rolling gentle slopes that do not require cable varding.
t7.6	Klamaths	Less managed	SF Indian	1950s- present	30- 200+	0.6–11	9–43	PP-09, SP <sup>g</sup> -03, WF <sup>h</sup> -06, DF-35, IC-2, Hwds-45	Original logging started in 1950s, never clearcut, always light selection, road building to access in modern times 1950s, light selection/ high risk salvage continued through the 1970s, selection logging in the 1980s through 1990s. Tractor based clearcutting with buffers begin in 2001. Very light partial cutting in the riparian buffers from 1950s on. Clearcuts conducted upslope in late 1990s till the present, cable yarding near streams in steeper areas with tractors used on the rest. Rehab and planting of burned areas. Buffers were never clearcut. Wildfires in the 1930s–1940s.
t7.7	Klamaths	Less managed	Skunk Gulch	1950s– present	30- 200+	0.6–10	9–52	PP-10, SP-02, WF-04, DF-24, MC-1, Hwds-60	Original logging started in 1950s, never clearcut, always light selection, road building to access in modern times 1950s, light selection/ high risk salvage continued through the 1970s, selection logging in the 1980s through the 1990s. Tractor based clearcutting with riparian buffers began in 2001. Very light partial cutting including riparian areas from 1950s on. Clearcuts conducted upslope in late 1990s till the present, cable yarding near streams in steeper areas with tractors used on the rest. Rehab and planting of burned areas. Riparian areas were never clearcut. Wildfires visited area 1930s–1940s.
t7.8	Sierras	Managed	San Antonio	1920s–1930s 1950s– present	30-85	0.6–11	9–55	PP-20 SP-15 WF-20 IC-35 Hwds-10	Originally logged around 1920, 1930s: steam donkey logging downhill to the mill near the bottom of the drainage, a near complete clear cut. Road building to access in modern times 1950s, periodic selection and partial cuts conducted by tractor throughout watershed from then until 1990s. Partial cutting in the riparian zones from 1950s on. Clearcuts conducted upslope in 2000s, cableyarding near streams in steeper areas with tractors used on the rest.
t7.9	Sierras	Managed	Pilot	1900s–1930s 1960s– present	30-110	0.6–15	9–61	PP-35 SP-10, WF-35, DF-6, IC-13, Hwds-1	Originally logged around 1930–1940s: steam donkey railroad logging, a near complete clear cut. Road building to access in modern times, some selection/thinnings in the 1960's, tractor based clearcutting with riparian buffers begin in the 1970's. Partial cutting in the riparian zones from 1960s on. Entire drainage is rolling gentle slopes that do not require cable yarding.

<sup>a</sup>Redwood. t7.10

<sup>b</sup>Douglas fir. t7.11

Western white pine. t7.12

<sup>d</sup>Hardwoods. t7.13

t7.14<sup>e</sup>Ponderosa pine. fIncense cedar.

t7.15 <sup>g</sup>Sugar pine. t7.16

<sup>h</sup>White fir. t7.17

#### P. Bigelow, L. Benda / Geomorphology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

#### 18

#### References 899

- 900 Ahlgren, I.F., Ahlgren, C.E., 1960. Ecological effects of forest fires. The Botanical Review 26, 901 483-533
- Arseneault, D., Boucher, F., Bouchon, F., 2007, Asynchronous forest-stream coupling in a 902 903 fire-prone boreal landscape: insights from woody debris. Ecology 95, 789-801.
- 904 Beechie, T.I., Pess, G., Kennard, P., Bilby, R., Bolton, S., 2000, Modeling recovery rates and 905 pathways for woody debris recruitment in northwestern Washington streams.
- 906 North American Journal of Fisheries Management 20, 436-452.
- 907 Benda, L., Sias, J., 2003. A quantitative framework for evaluating the wood budget. Journal of Forest Ecology and Management 172, 1-16. 908
- 909 Benda, L.E., Bigelow, P., Worsley, T.M., 2002. Recruitment of wood to streams in old-910 growth and second-growth redwood forests, northern California, U.S.A. Canadian 911 Journal of Forest Research 32, 1460–1477.
- 912 Benda, L., Bigelow, P., Andras, K., 2004. Erosion study in a small forested watershed, 913 southern Cascade Range, northern California. Prepared for Sierra Pacific Industries 914 by Lee Benda and Associates (April 30).
- 915 Bendix, J., Cowell, C.M., 2010. Fire, floods and woody debris: interactions between biotic 916 and geomorphic processes. Geomorphology 116, 297-304.
- 917 Berbach, M.W., 2001. Biological background for regulatory requirements of WLPZs. In: 918 Cooper, S.L. (comp), Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Forest Vegetation Management 919 Conference, January 16-18, 2001, Redding, CA, University of California, Shasta County 920 Cooperative Extension, Redding, CA, pp. 83-88.
- 921 Berg, N., Carlson, A., Azuma, D., 1998. Function and dynamics of woody debris in stream 922 reaches in the central Sierra Nevada, California. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and 923 Aquatic Science 55, 1807-1820.
- Berg, N., Azuma, D., Carlson, A., 2002. Effects of wildfire on in-channel wood debris in the 924 925 eastern Sierra Nevada, California. In: Laudenslayer, W.F., Shea, P.J., Valentine, B.E., 926 Bradley, E., Weatherspoon, C.P., Lisle, T.E. (Eds.), Proceedings of the Symposium on 927the Ecology and Management of Dead Wood in Western Forests, November 2-4, 928 1999. Reno, Nevada. U.S. Forest Service General Technical Report PSW-GTR-181, Al-929 bany, CA, pp. 49-63.
- 930 Bigelow, P.E., Benda, L.E., Miller, D.J., Burnett, K.M., 2007. On debris flows, river networks, 931 and the spatial structure of channel morphology. Forest Science 53, 220-238.
- 932 Bilby, R.E., Ward, J.W., 1989. Changes in characteristics and function of woody debris with 933 increasing size of streams in western Washington. Transactions of the American Fish-934 eries Society 118, 368-378.
- 935 Bilby, R.E., Ward, J.W., 1991. Characteristics and function of large woody debris in streams 936 draining old growth, clear-cut and second-growth forests in southwestern Washing-937 ton. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 48, 2499-2508.
- 938 Bisson, P.A., Bilby, R.E., Bryant, M.D., Dolloff, C.A., Grette, G.B., House, R.A., Murphy, M.L. 939 Koski, K.V., Sedell, J.R., 1987. Large woody debris in forested streams in the Pacific Northwest: past, present, and future. In: Salo, E.O., Cundy, T.W. (Eds.), Streamside 940 941 Management: Forestry and Fishery Interactions. University of Washington Institute of Forest Resources, Seattle, WA, pp. 143-190. 942
- Brown, W.M., Ritter, J.R., 1971. Sediment transport and turbidity in the Eel River Basin, 943 944 California. U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper 1986, Washington, DC.
- 945Burnett, K.M., Miller, D., 2007. Streamside policies for headwater channels: an example 946 considering debris flows in the Oregon coastal province. Forest Science 53, 239-253. Burns, J., 1972. Some effects of logging and associated road construction on northern Cal-947
- 948 ifornia streams. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 101, 1-17.
- 949 CAL FIRE (California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection), 2010. California Forest 950 Practice Rules. Sacramento, CA.
- California Geological Survey, 2002. California Geomorphic Provinces. Note 36. California 951 952Department of Conservation, Sacramento, CA.
- 953 Cashman, S.M., Kelsey, H.M., Harden, D.R., 1995. Geology of the Redwood Creek basin, Hum-954 boldt County, California. Geomorphic Processes and Aquatic Habitat in the Redwood 955Creek Basin, Northwestern California. In: Nolan, K.M., Kelsey, H.M., Marron, D.C. (Eds.), 956 U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper, 1454(B), pp. 1-13 (Washington, DC).
- 957Cederholm, C.J., Bilby, R.E., Bisson, P.A., Bumstead, T.W., Fransen, B.R., Scarlett, W.J., Ward, 958 J.W., 1997. Response of juvenile coho salmon and steelhead to placement of large 959 woody debris in a coastal Washington stream. North American Journal of Fisheries 960 Management 17, 947-963.
- 961 Colombaroli, D., Gavin, D., 2010. Highly episodic fire and erosion regime over the past 962 2,000 y in the Siskiyou Mountains, Oregon. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107, 18909-18914. 963
- 964 Comiti, F., Andreoli, A., Lenzi, M.A., Mao, L., 2006. Spatial density and characteristics of 965 woody debris in five mountain rivers of the Dolomites (Italian Alps). Geomorphology 966 78.44-63.
- Czarnomski, N.M., Dreher, D.M., Snyder, K.U., Jones, J.A., Swanson, F.J., 2008. Dynamics of 967 968 wood in stream networks of the western Cascades Range, Oregon. Canadian Journal 969 of Forest Research 38, 2236-2248.
- Dietrich, W.E., Dunne, T., 1978. Sediment budget for a small catchment in mountainous 970 971 terrain. Zietshrift für Geomorphologie Supplement Band 29, 191–206.
- 972 FEMAT (Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team), 1993. Forest Ecosystem Man-973 agement: An Ecological, Economic, and Social Assessment, Report of the FEMAT, U.S. 974Government Printing Office, Washington, DC,
- Fox, M.J., Bolton, S., 2007. A regional and geomorphic reference for quantities and vol-975 umes of instream wood in unmanaged forested basins of Washington state. North 976 977 American Journal of Fisheries Management 27, 342-359.
- 978 Franklin, I.F., 1979, Vegetation of the Douglas fir region, In: Heilman, P.F., Anderson, H.W., Baumgartner, D.M. (Eds.), Forest Soils of the Douglas Fir Region. Washington State 979 980 University Cooperative Extension, Pullman, WA, pp. 93–112.
- 981 Harden, D.R., 1997. California Geology. Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- Harmon, M.E., Franklin, J.F., Swanson, F.J., Sollins, P., Gregory, S.V., Lattin, J.D., Anderson, 982983 N.H., Cline, S.P., Aumen, N.G., Sedell, J.R., Lienkaemper, G.W., Cromack, K., Cummins,
- K.W., 1986. Ecology of coarse woody debris in temperate ecosystems. Advances in 984 Ecological Research 15, 133–302. 985 Hartley, C., 1958. Evaluation of wood decay in experimental work. U.S. Forest Service, For--986 est Products Laboratory Report No 2119 Madison WI 987 Hennon, P.E., McClellan, M., Palkovic, P., 2002. Comparing deterioration and ecosystem 988 function of decay-resistant and decay-susceptible species of dead trees. Proceedings 989 of the Symposium on the Ecology and Management of Dead Wood in Western 990 Forests, November 2-4, 1999. Reno, Nevada. In: Laudenslaver, W.F., Shea, P.J., 991 Valentine, B.E., Bradley, E., Weatherspoon, C.P., Lisle, T.E. (Eds.), U.S. Forest Service 992 General Technical Report PSW-GTR-181, Albany, CA, pp. 435-444. 993 Jackson, C.R., Sturm, C.A., 2002. Woody debris and channel morphology in first- and 994 995 second-order forested channels in Washington's Coast Ranges. Water Resources Research 38, 16-1-16-14, 996 Kaczka, R.J., 2009. Dynamic of large woody debris and wood dams in mountain Kamienica 997 Stream, Polish Carpathians. Tree Rings in Archaeology, Climatology and Ecology 7, 998 133-137 999 Kattelmann, R., 1996. Hydrology and water resources. Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: 1000 Final Report to Congress, Assessments and Scientific Basis for Management Options, 1001 vol. II. Centers for Water and Wildland Resources, University of California, Davis, 1002 pp. 855-920. 1003 Keller, E.A., Swanson, F.J., 1979. Effects of large organic material on channel form and flu-1004 vial processes. Earth Surface Processes 4, 361-380. 1005Keller, E.A., MacDonald, A., Tally, T., Merrit, N.J., 1995. Effects of large organic debris on 1006 channel morphology and sediment storage in selected tributaries of Redwood 1007 Creek, northwestern California. Geomorphic Processes and Aquatic Habitat in the 1008 Redwood Creek Basin, Northwestern California. In: Nolan, K.M., Kelsey, H.M., 1009 Marron, D.C. (Eds.), U.S. Geological Survey Paper, 1454(P), pp. 1-29 (Washington, 1010 DC) 1011 Kelsey, H.M., 1980. A sediment budget and an analysis of geomorphic process in the Van 1012 Duzen River basin, north coastal California, 1941–1975: summary. Geological Society 1013 of America Bulletin 91, 190-195. 1014 King, L., Hassan, M.A., Wei, X., Burge, L., Chen, X., 2013. Wood dynamics in upland streams 1015under different disturbance regimes. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. http:// 1016 dx.doi.org/10.1002/esp.3356. Lassettre, N.S., Kondolf, G.M., 2003. Process based management of large woody debris at 1018 the basin scale, Soquel Creek, California. Final Report prepared for the California De-1019 partment of Forestry and Fire Protection and Soquel Demonstration State Forest. De-1020 partment of Landscape Architecture and Environmental Planning, University of 1021 California, Berkeley. Lienkaemper, G.W., Swanson, F.J., 1987. Dynamics of large woody debris in streams in old 1023 growth Douglas-fir forests. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 17, 150-156. 1024Ligon, F., Rich, A., Rynearson, G., Thornburgh, D., Trush, W., 1999. Report of the Scientific 1025Review Panel on California Forest Practice Rules and Salmonid Habitat. Prepared for 1026 the California Resources Agency and the National Marine Fisheries Service, Sacra-1027 mento, CA. 1028Liquori, M.K., 2006. Post harvest riparian buffer response: implications for wood recruit-1029 ment and buffer design. Journal of American Water Resources Association 42, 1030 177-189. 1031 Lisle, T.E., 2002. How much dead wood in stream channels is enough? Proceedings of the 1032 Symposium on the Ecology and Management of Dead Wood in Western Forests, No-1033vember 2-4, 1999, Reno, Nevada. In: Laudenslayer, W.F., Shea, P.J., Valentine, B.E., 1034 Bradley, E., Weatherspoon, C.P., Lisle, T.E. (Eds.), U.S. Forest Service General Technical 1035Report PSW-GTR-181, Albany, CA, pp. 85-93. 1036Marcus, W.A., Rasmussen, J., Fonstad, M.A., 2011. Response of the fluvial wood system to 1037 fire and floods in northern Yellowstone. Annals of the Association of American Geog-1038 raphers 101, 21-44. 1039Martin, D.J., Benda, L.E., 2001. Patterns of instream wood recruitment and transport at the 1040 watershed scale. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 130, 940-958. 1041 May, C.L., Gresswell, R.E., 2003. Large wood recruitment and redistribution in headwater 1042 streams in the southern Oregon Coast Range, U.S.A. Canadian Journal of Forest Re-1043 search 33, 1352-1362. 1044 McDade, M.H., Swanson, F.J., Mckee, W.A., Franklin, J.F., Van Sickle, J., 1990. Source dis-1045 tances for coarse wood entering small streams in western Oregon and Washington. 1046 Canadian Journal of Forest Research 20, 326-330. 1047 Minear, J.T., Kondolf, G.M., 2009. Estimating reservoir sedimentation rates at large spatial 1048 and temporal scales: a case study of California. Water Resources Research 45. http:// 1049 dx.doi.org/10.1029/2007WR006703. 1050Mondry, Z.J., 2004. 1997 flooding in three Northern California Klamath Mountain 1051Streams: Geomorphic Effectiveness and Sediment and Large Wood Budgets. (M.S. 1052Thesis) Humboldt State University, Arcata, CA. 1053Montgomery, D.R., Buffington, J.M., 1997. Channel-reach morphology in mountain drain- 1054 age basins. Geological Society of America Bulletin 109, 596-611. Murphy, M.L., Koski, K.V., 1989. Input and depletion of wood in Alaska streams and imple-1056mentation for streamside management. North American Journal of Fisheries Manage-1057ment 9, 427-436. National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), 1996. Making Endangered Species Act Determinations of Effect for Individual or Grouped Actions at the Watershed Scale. Envi-1060ronmental and Technical Services Division, Habitat Conservation Branch, Portland, 1061 1062Nolan, K.M., Janda, R.I., 1995, Movement and sediment vield of two earthflows, north-1063western California, Geomorphic Processes and Aquatic Habitat in the Redwood 1064 Creek Basin, Northwestern California. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper, 10651454(F) (Washington, DC). 1066 Pollock, M.M., Beechie, T.J., Imaki, H., 2012. Using reference conditions in ecosystem res-1067
  - toration: an example for riparian conifer forests in the Pacific Northwest. Ecosphere 1068 3 (article 98). 1069

Please cite this article as: Bigelow, P., Benda, L., On the patterns and processes of wood in northern California streams, Geomorphology (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.11.028

OR

#### P. Bigelow, L. Benda / Geomorphology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

- Ralph, S.C., Poole, G.C., Conquest, L.L., Naiman, R.J., 1994. Stream channel morphology and woody debris in logged and unlogged basins of western Washington. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 51, 37–51.
- Reeves, G., Burnett, K.M., McGarry, E.V., 2003. Sources of large wood in the mainstem of a fourth-order watershed in coastal Oregon. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 33, 1352–1362.
- Reid, L.M., Hilton, S., 1998. Buffering the buffer. In: Ziemer, R.R., (Tech. Coord.), Proceedings of the Conference on Coastal Watersheds: the Caspar Creek Story, May 6, 1998, Ukiah, CA. U.S. Forest Service Pacific Southwest Research Station General Technical Report PSW GTR-168, Albany, CA, pp. 71–80.
- Reid, L.M., Dewey, N.J., Lisle, T.E., Hilton, S., 2010. The incidence and role of gullies after
   logging in a coastal redwood forest. Geomorphology 117, 155–169.
- Rigon, E., Comiti, R., Lenzi, M.A., 2012. Large wood storage in streams of the Eastern Italian
   Alps and the relevance of hillslope processes. Water Resources Research 48, W01518.
   http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010WR009854.
- Robison, E.G., Beschta, R.L., 1990. Characteristics of coarse woody debris for several coastal
   streams of southeast Alaska, USA. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences
   47, 1684–1693.
- Rosenfeld, J.S., Huato, L., 2003. Relationship between large woody debris characteristics and pool formation in small coastal British Columbia streams. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 23, 928–938.
- Ruediger, R., Ward, J., 1996. Abundance and function of large woody debris in Central Sierra Nevada Streams. U.S. Forest Service FHR Currents: Fish Habitat Relationships Technical Bulletin No. 20, Eureka, CA.
- Schuett-Hames, D., Pleus, A.E., Ward, J., Fox, M., Light, J., 1999. Method manual for the large woody debris survey. TFW Manual TFW-AM9-99-004, NW Indian Fisheries Commission and Timber, Fish and Wildlife. Olympia, WA.
- Sedell, J.R., Triska, F.J., 1977. Biological consequences of large organic debris in northwest streams. Logging Debris in Streams Workshop II, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR (10 pp.).
- Seo, J.I., Nakamura, F., 2009. Scale-dependent controls upon the fluvial export of large wood from river catchments. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 34, 786–800.
- 1134

- Spies, T.A., Franklin, I.F., 1988, Old-growth and forest dynamics in the Douglas-fir region 1102 of western Oregon and Washington. Natural Areas Journal 8, 190-201. 1103 Stack, W.R., 1988. Factors Influencing Pool Morphology in Oregon Coastal Streams. (M.S. 1104 Thesis) Oregon State University Corvallis OR 1105U.S. Forest Service and BLM (Bureau of Land Management), 1994. Record of Decision 1106 (ROD) for the Amendments to Forest Service and BLM Planning Documents within 1107 the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl. U.S. Forest Service and BLM, Washington, DC. 1108 Van Sickle, J., Gregory, S.V., 1990. Modeling inputs of large wood to streams from falling 1109 trees Canadian Journal of Forest Research 20, 1593–1601 1110 Viers, S.D., 1975. Redwood vegetation dynamics. Bulletin of the Association of Engineer- 1111
- viets, 5.2., 1973. Retwood vegetation dynamics, bulletin of the Association of Engineer 1111
   ing Geologists 56, 34–35.
   1112
   Waddell, KL., Bassett, P.M., 1996. Timber resource statistics for the north coast resource
   1113
- Waddell, K.L., Bassett, P.M., 1996. 11mber resource statistics for the north coast resource 1113 area of California. Resour. Bull. PNW-RB-214. U.S. Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR.
   1115
- Waddell, K.L., Bassett, P.M., 1997a. Timber resource statistics for the north interior resource area of California. Resour. Bull. PNW-RB-222. U.S. Forest Service, Pacific North-1117
   west Research Station, Portland, OR.
- Waddell, K.L., Bassett, P.M., 1997b. Timber resource statistics for the Sacramento resource 1119 area of California. Resour. Bull. PNW-RB-220. U.S. Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR.
- Westman, W.E., Whittaker, R.H., 1975. The pygmy forest region of northern California: 1122 studies on biomass and primary production. Ecological Monographs 63, 453–520. 1123
- Wohl, E., Cadol, D., 2011. Neighborhood matters: patterns and controls on wood distribution in old-growth forest streams of the Colorado Front Range, USA. Geomorphology 1125 125, 132–146. 1126
- Wohl, E., Kuzma, N., Brown, N.E., 2004. Reach-scale channel geometry of a mountain river. 1127
   Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 29, 969–981. 1128
- Wooster, J., Hilton, S., 2004. Large woody debris volumes and accumulation rates in cleaned 1129 streams in redwood forests in southern Humboldt County, California. Research Note 1130 PSW-RN-426. U.S. Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Arcata, CA.
- Young, K.A., 2000. Riparian zone management in the Pacific Northwest: who's cutting 1132 what? Environmental Management 26, 131–144. 1133